Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/528,434	FUJIMURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Andrew T. Piziali	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a leplies: (1) an amendmer al (with appeal fee) in co	Notice of Appeal. To avoid abal it, affidavit, or other evidence, v mpliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(feetensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the second se	dvisory Action, or (2) the dat ter than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) v).	the mailing date of the final rejection WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspondir hortened statutory period for	g amount of the fee. The appropri reply originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search v);	(see NOTE below);		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) 	orresponding number of		ne issues for	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	1. See attached Notice o	,	,	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.		b)	xplanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h - f - u - u - u - th d - t c f	filing a Nation of Appeal will be		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections un and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant fail ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: 		•		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·		
<u> </u>				
		/Andrew T Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 1794	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

For example, the amendment to claim 1 deleting the word "kind" and adding limitations drawn to the process of making the glass cloth, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.